

# DCP 241 Working Group Minutes

<b>Meeting Name</b>	DCP 241 Working Group
<b>Meeting Number</b>	02
<b>Date</b>	10 July 2015
<b>Time</b>	10:00
<b>Venue</b>	Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Chris Ong [CO] (Chair)	UK Power Networks
Claire Campbell [CC]	SP Energy Networks
Sarah Marsden [SM]	Northern Powergrid
Nicky White [NW]	Npower
Andrew Enzor [AE]	Northern Powergrid
Paul Duffus [PD]	WPD
Fungai Madzivadondo [FM] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 Apologies were received from Bethany Hannah (Ofgem).
- 1.2 The minutes of the previous meeting were approved without amendment.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed and updated the actions list which acts as Appendix A.

## 2 COMPETITION LAWS DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 The Working Group agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do's and Don'ts during the course of the Working Group.

## 3 REVIEW OF THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

- 3.1 The DCP 241 Working Group reviewed the draft consultation document for DCP 241. The reviewed consultation document is provided as attachment 1.
- 3.2 The Working Group agreed that the legal text should illustrate what will be shown by the model. An attendee pointed out that the model should show historical data as this will help Parties understand the charges which would have been published by the DNOs.
- 3.3 It was observed that Suppliers are the main end users of the model therefore their views should be considered when putting together the model. The model has to be clear on how the yearly charges are calculated and provide what Parties want.
- 3.4 During the review of the consultation document the Working Group agreed to the following:
  - The format of the LV/HV model will be based on the revised EDCM model(s) that has been developed by the DCP 216<sup>1</sup> Working Group. It was agreed that the revised EDCM models developed by the DCP 216 should be added as an attachment to the consultation document.

<sup>1</sup> DCP 216 – Amending the EDCM Model Format

- With regards to paragraph 3.6 the Working Group noted that the model should reflect what Parties require. It was observed that a question on the publishing of EDCM models should be added to the consultation.
  - It was highlighted that a question to address the current obligations of schedule 15 should be added to the consultation document.
- 3.5 The Working Group considered two different approaches for drafting the revisions to the legal text. The first approach would be to not move large volumes of the legal text from the three existing schedules (15, 16 & 20), but to add a small amount of additional text to schedule 16 which would refer back to the detail of the obligations laid out as part of schedules 15 & 20, which would need to contain relevant 'hooks' to schedule 16.
- 3.6 It was noted that the second approach would be to move all of the appropriate obligations from the three existing schedules (15, 16 & 20) into a new separate schedule (or part of an existing one), this approach would likely be cleaner in the long term but would see significant changes made to the legal text, which would need to be considered and fully understood.
- 3.7 It was agreed that the Working Group will agree on the legal text after the consultation responses have been received.
- 3.8 It was observed that the consultation may not receive enough feedback if issued for a shorter period during the summer holidays. The Working Group agreed to issue the consultation for a period of four weeks to allow industry parties to provide enough feedback on the change.

#### **4 WORK PLAN AND TIMETABLE**

---

4.1 The DCP 241 Working Group agreed the next steps as follows:

- CO to update the consultation document with changes agreed at the meeting.  
**Action 02/01: CO**
- ElectraLink to circulate the consultation document to Working Group members for review.  
**Action 02/02: ElectraLink**
- Working Group members to provide comments by 17 July 2015.
- The consultation to be issued for a period of four weeks to allow more feedback to be provided.
- ElectraLink to update the CP Work Plan and circulate to the Working Group for review.  
**Action 02/03: ElectraLink**

#### **5 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

---

5.1 There were no items of any other business.

#### **6 NEXT MEETING**

---

6.1 The next Working Group meeting will be confirmed in August after the consultation responses have been received.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

---

Attachment 1 – DCP 241 Draft Consultation Document

Attachment 2 – DCP 241 Work plan

**APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF ACTIONS**

---

**NEW AND OPEN ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
02/01	CO to update the consultation document with changes agreed at the meeting.	Chris Ong	
02/02	ElectraLink to circulate the consultation document to Working Group members for review.	ElectraLink	
02/03	The consultation to be issued for a period of four weeks to allow more feedback to be provided and update the CP Time table.	ElectraLink	

**CLOSED ACTIONS**

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	Enquire if a representative of ENWL is planning on attending the DCP 241 Working Group meetings.	ElectraLink	Completed
01/02	CO to draft questions for the consultation and circulate to the group.	Chris Ong	Completed
01/03	ElectraLink to draft a consultation document for review by the Working Group	ElectraLink	Completed